

Plastic Packaging Tax

Consultation response submission form

Publication date: 11 March 2020

Closing date for comments: 20 May 2020

Subject of this consultation:

The Plastic Packaging Tax which from April 2022 will apply to plastic packaging manufactured in or imported into the UK containing less than 30% recycled plastic.

Scope of this consultation:

At Budget 2020, the government announced key decisions it had taken for the design of Plastic Packaging Tax in light of stakeholder responses to the previous consultation in 2019. This document provides more information on these announced areas, as well as asking for views on areas of the tax design which have been further refined as we move closer to the implementation date.

Who should read this:

The government would like to hear from businesses, individuals, tax advisers, trade and professional bodies and other interested parties.

Duration:

11 March 2020 to 20 May 2020 (10 weeks).

Lead official:

Alex Marsh, HM Revenue and Customs.

How to respond or enquire about this consultation:

Responses or enquiries should be sent by 20 May 2020, by email to indirecttaxdesign.team@hmrc.gov.uk or by post to: Alex Marsh, 3rd Floor Ralli Quays, Stanley Street, M60 9LA.

Additional ways to be involved:

In order to engage with businesses and individuals who would be affected by the proposals in this consultation, the government will be consulting key stakeholders and interested parties on the proposals through meetings. If you would like to be included in a consultative meeting, please contact us via the email above.

After the consultation:

The government will aim to analyse responses and publish a formal responses document within 12 weeks after the end of the consultation period.

Getting to this stage:

The responses to the government's Call for Evidence on single-use plastic waste in 2018 highlighted that using recycled plastic is often more expensive than using new plastic. At Budget 2018, the government proposed to use a new tax to encourage the use of recycled plastic and has taken the responses from the first consultation, published in 2019, into consideration to develop the proposals

Previous engagement:

presented here.

During the first consultation period, the government had meetings with various stakeholders to discuss the impact of the initial proposals. The government also conducted market research to improve understanding of the packaging industry.

Consultation

This response form is to be used for responding to HMRC's consultation on a Plastic Packaging Tax. The consultation in full can be found on the following link - https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/plastic-packaging-tax-policy-design.

Confidentiality

Information provided in response to this consultation, including personal information, may be published or disclosed in accordance with the access to information regimes. These are primarily the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), the Data Protection Act 2018, General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004.

If you want the information that you provide to be treated as confidential, please be aware that under FOIA, there is a statutory Code of Practice with which public authorities must comply and which deals with, amongst other things, obligations of confidence. In view of this it would be helpful if you could explain to us why you regard the information you have provided as confidential. If we receive a request for disclosure of the information we will take full account of your explanation, but we cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be maintained in all circumstances. An automatic confidentiality disclaimer generated by your IT system will not, of itself, be regarded as binding on HM Revenue and Customs.

Consultation privacy notice

This notice sets out how we will use your personal data, and your rights. It is made under Articles 13 and/or 14 of the General Data Protection Regulation.

Your data

The data

We will process the following personal data:

Name Email address Postal address Phone number Job title

Purpose

The purpose for which we are processing your personal data is: The Plastic Packaging Tax Consultation.

Legal basis of processing

The legal basis for processing your personal data is that the processing is necessary for the exercise of a function of a government department.

Recipients

Your personal data will be shared by us with HM Treasury.

Retention

Your personal data will be kept by us for six years and will then be deleted.

Your rights

- You have the right to request information about how your personal data are processed, and to request a copy of that personal data.
- You have the right to request that any inaccuracies in your personal data are rectified without delay.
- You have the right to request that any incomplete personal data are completed, including by means of a supplementary statement.
- You have the right to request that your personal data are erased if there is no longer a justification for them to be processed.
- You have the right in certain circumstances (for example, where accuracy is contested) to request that the processing of your personal data is restricted.

Complaints

If you consider that your personal data has been misused or mishandled, you may make a complaint to the Information Commissioner, who is an independent regulator. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow Cheshire SK9 5AF 0303 123 1113 casework@ico.org.uk

Any complaint to the Information Commissioner is without prejudice to your right to seek redress through the courts.

Contact details

The data controller for your personal data is HM Revenue and Customs. The contact details for the data controller are:

HMRC 100 Parliament Street Westminster London SW1A 2BQ

The contact details for HMRC's Data Protection Officer are:

The Data Protection Officer
HM Revenue and Customs
7th Floor, 10 South Colonnade
Canary Wharf, London E14 4PU
advice.dpa@hmrc.gsi.gov.uk

About you

Your name
Your email address
Who are you submitting this response on behalf of? (Please only tick one)
☐ Business representative organisation/trade body
In the case of representative bodies please provide information on the number and nature of people you represent
☐ Packaging designer
☐ Packaging manufacturer / converter
☐ Product manufacturer / pack filler
☐ Distributor
☐ Online marketplace
☐ Fulfilment house operator
☐ Retailer
☐ Plastic packaging importer
☐ Plastic packaging exporter
☐ Waste Management Company
☐ Re-processor
☐ Community group
☐ Non-governmental organisation
☐ Charity or social enterprise
□ Consultancy
☐ Academic or research
□ Individual
□ Other
If you answered 'Other' above, please provide details

Please provide the name of the organisation	n/business you represent (if applicable)
North London Waste Authority	
If you are in business, where is your busines	ss established?
☐ England	
☐ Scotland	
☐ Northern Ireland	
☐ Wales	
☐ Isle of Man	
☐ Other EU - please state	
☐ Non EU - please state	
If you are in business, how many staff do yo	
☐ Fewer than 10	The chiploy across the ok:
□ 10 - 49	
□ 50 - 249	
☐ More than 249	
☐ Prefer not to say	
Are you an obligated packaging producer ur Regulations in the UK?	nder Producer Responsibility (Packaging Waste)
No	
packaging do you manufacture or import ar	mports plastic packaging, how many tonnes of plastic nnually?
No	
Please provide any further information about think might help us put your answers in con	ut your organisation or business activities that you ntext.
NLWA is the second largest waste disposal authorities. The impact of the plastics pack and the availability of end markets. We need	Authority in the UK and supports 7 waste collection caging tax may affect the materials received by NWLA ed to understand the possible outcomes of policy to business systems to accommodate them and flag up

any concerns.

Would you like your response to be confidential? Why? (please note the information on confidentiality on page 3)				
No				

The scope of the tax – chapter 3

This chapter sets out the government's updated proposals on how to define key terms that will be used in the tax, as well as the scope of the tax and whether it is feasible to create a limited exemption for some of the plastic packaging used for licensed human medicines.

Question 1. Do you agree with the revised definition of plastic, which removes the 'main structural component' test and limits the exclusion to 'cellulose-based' polymers? Please outline your reasoning.

We agree with the revised definition of plastic for the reasons set out in the consultation. However, we have concerns that it may drive some manufacturers to turn to bio products to avoid the tax. These are difficult to distinguish from oil-based plastics so create problems when sent to recycling and organic reprocessing and treatment plants. They may increase the contamination issues at these facilities and the waste sent onwards for disposal.

Question 2. Do you agree that packaging-type products that do not fulfil a packaging function until they are used by the end consumer should be included in the tax unless they are for longer term storage? Please outline your reasoning.

We agree with this approach as these plastics and film in particular tend to be very difficult if not impossible to recycle so this could act as an incentive for manufacturers to consider other materials and preferably those that can be recycled. However, any increased costs may also drive consumers to use other products which could have unintended consequences.

Question 3. Do you have any observations on the government's proposed approach to excluding plastic packaging used to facilitate the transport of imported goods?

We appreciate the challenges for the Government with regards to packaging used in importing goods. It would be unfair to hold an importer responsible for packaging over which they have little or no control. The materials used for transporting goods will be determined by their protective properties and subject to local availability which is likely to be outside of the control of UK manufacturers. However, in the longer term it is proposed that this be reviewed as the tax takes effect and the market for recycled plastics develops.

Question 4. Do you think it is feasible to provide evidence that packaging has been commissioned for use as immediate packaging for licensed human medicines at the time the tax is chargeable? If not, please explain why.

From the information provided in the consultation, it appears that the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), and European Medicines Agency (EMA) would be able to provide this evidence but it is not clear if other medicines not covered by these agencies could comply.

Question 5. Would the proposed exemption cause any market distortion or other unintended consequences? If yes, please provide more details.

No comment	No	commer	ıt.
------------	----	--------	-----

Liability for the tax - chapter 4

Businesses who manufacture in the UK, or import plastic packaging into the UK will be liable to pay the tax, subject to relevant exemptions and the small operator threshold explained in chapter 5. This chapter outlines the government's proposals for when the tax will be chargeable and who the chargeable person will be.

Question 6. Do you agree the proposed charging conditions will ensure that the UK manufacturer of plastic packaging is liable for the tax? If not, please explain why.

NLWA agrees with the proposal but is not clear how the manufacturer of plastic packaging will be identified so that they pay tax as the supply chain is complex and so there is a risk of potential opportunities to avoid the tax.

Question 7. Do you foresee any issues for specific packaging components due to the proposed approach of disregarding further ancillary processes for the purposes of the tax? Please explain what these issues are.

ı	V	^	 _	$\overline{}$	n	n	n	n	۵	n	+	
1	v	()		()	ш		п	ш	_	ı		

Question 8. Do you have any observations on the proposed treatment of imports of plastic packaging, particularly linking the tax point to "first commercial exploitation" i.e. when it is controlled, moved, stored, is subject to an agreement to sell, or otherwise used in the UK in the course or furtherance of business?

No comment

Question 9. Do you agree the "consignee" on import documentation is likely to be the taxable person for imports of plastic packaging? In what scenarios might someone else be the person on whose behalf the plastic packaging is commercially exploited?

No comment

Question 10. Do you agree that packaging that is damaged after the tax has become due should not be relieved? If not, please explain why you think this packaging should be relieved.

The Authority agrees with this approach as set out in the consultation.

Question 11. Do you foresee any difficulty or added costs with the proposal for the taxable person to incorporate the amount of Plastic Packaging Tax onto the sales invoice, and if so, could this information be provided to customers in any other way?

NΩ	comment	•

Question 12. Are the proposals for joint and several liability reasonable? If not, please say why?

This sounds a sensible approach but potentially challenging to hold overseas businesses to account.

Question 13. Do you envisage any problems with extending joint and several liability to online marketplaces and fulfilment house operators who knew, or had reasonable grounds to suspect that the tax had not been accounted for on sales made through their platform?

It could be difficult to hold businesses to account for this practice especially if they don't agree themselves who is and should be liable.

Question 14. Will extending joint and several liability to third-party fulfilment house operators and online marketplaces be sufficient to deter overseas sellers from non-compliance with the tax? If not, what other steps should HMRC consider?

	<u>'</u>
	No comment.
	No comment.
	No comment.

Excluding small operators ('de minimis') - chapter 5

The government wants to ensure that the administrative burdens for businesses manufacturing and/or importing small amounts of plastic packaging, and the costs of administering and collecting the tax, are not disproportionate to the environmental harms the tax seeks to address. To achieve this, the government proposed in the previous consultation that only businesses over a minimum threshold, or a 'de minimis', would be in scope of the tax. This chapter sets out more detail on the government's de minimis proposals.

Question 15. Do you agree with the proposed guidance and tools to help business determine if they are above or below the de minimis? What other help could the government provide?

Yes - with guidance and tools in place there is less room for ambiguity.

Question 16. Do you agree with the approach to record keeping for businesses below de minimis? If you disagree, please suggest what alternative approaches would be more appropriate and why.

No comment

Question 17. Do you agree with the proposed forward and backward look test to apply the 10 tonne threshold? If you disagree, please suggest what would be more suitable and provide evidence to support your view.

No comment			

Evidence requirements – chapter 6

This chapter sets out the government's updated proposals to help businesses fulfil their Plastic Packaging Tax obligations and safeguard the tax from avoidance and evasion.

Question 18. Do you agree with the government's proposal to restrict calculations of recycled plastic content to approved methods? If not, please explain why. What methods other than the proposed mass balance approach should be considered?

NLWA agrees with making the calculations using approved methods for consistency purposes.

Question 19.	Where businesses are importing plastic packaging with at least 30% recycled
content, will it	be feasible for them to obtain the mass balance evidence from overseas
manufacturers	? What other ways could importers demonstrate the proportion of recycled plastic?
No comment	

Question 20. Do you agree with the government's proposed method for calculating the weight of the packaging? If not, please explain why and how you would calculate it.

No comment.

Question 21. Are the types of evidence within the government's list appropriate for proving recycled plastic content and the other information required by HMRC? Are there any additional sources of evidence which could be used? If so, please provide details.

No comment.

Question 22. What further due diligence could businesses reasonably conduct to ensure their products meet the relevant specifications for tonnage and recycled plastic?

No comment.

Exports – chapter 7

This chapter sets out the government's updated approach for exports of plastic packaging. As set out in the government's initial consultation, UK manufacturers will not be disadvantaged because exported plastic packaging will be relieved from the tax.

Question 23. Are there any observations or issues you can see with the government's proposals to provide relief for exported plastic packaging through direct exports, REPs and tax credits? Please provide details of any alternative methods of relieving exports you would recommend.

Is there a risk that if the tax doesn't apply to businesses exporting plastics, the UK domestic plastic packaging market will be incentivised to export (more)?

Question 24. Do you agree with the proposed information requirements to evidence the proposed export reliefs? If not, please explain how you could evidence the export.

_	•	•	<i>,</i> ,	•	•	•
	No comm	nent.				

Question 25. Do you agree with the proposal not to relieve transport packaging used on exports? If not, do you have any suggestions on how transport packaging could be offered relief?

•	•	•	 -	1 1 0 0
No co	mment.			

Registrations, returns and enforcement - chapter 8

This chapter sets out the registration and returns requirements for the tax, and the compliance and enforcement regime HMRC will operate to ensure a level playing-field for all.

Question 26. Do you consider these registration requirements to be appropriate? If not, please specify why.

No comment.

Question 27. Do you agree that the group eligibility criteria are appropriate? If not, please specify why.

No comment.

Question 28. In your view, are businesses eligible to form a group likely to make use of this facility? If so, please estimate the value of savings that may be offered by registering and reporting as a group.

No comment.

Question 29. Do you agree that these deregistration requirements are appropriate? If not, please specify why.

No comment.

Question 30. In your view, will the reporting requirements be straightforward to comply with? If not, please provide details of any issues you expect.

No comment.

Question 31. Do you intend to use a third-party agent to help meet your obligations for the tax or are you an agent expecting to provide this service? Would you expect their responsibilities to include filing your returns?

No comment

Question 32. Please provide details of the expected costs to your business of registering for the tax, and any expected one-off and on-going costs of completing, filing and paying the return, excluding any expected tax liability.

No comment

Question 33. Do you consider that HMRC's approach to powers and penalties is appropriate? If not, please specify why.

It seems sensible to use systems and tools that are already in place to reduce the administrative burden.

Understanding commercial practices – chapter 9

Question 34. Unless already covered in your responses to other questions within this document or the previous consultation, please tell us about the plastic packaging manufactured or imported by your business and how you think your business would be impacted by the tax, including additional administrative burdens?

No comment.			

Assessment of impacts - chapter 10

Question 35. Do you have any comments on the assessment of equality and other impacts in the Tax Impact Assessment?

NLWA has concerns that the introduction of a tax may drive some manufacturers to turn to bio products and other types of packaging which may have unintended consequences across the packaging industry. From a waste management perspective there is a risk that the ability to recycle them through domestic collection services is problematic and it will complicate communications with residents about how these items should be correctly disposed of.

Submitting your response

Your response should be sent by 20 May 2020, by email to indirecttaxdesign.team@hmrc.gov.uk or by post to: Alex Marsh, 3rd Floor Ralli Quays, Stanley Street, M60 9LA.

Paper copies of this document or copies in Welsh and alternative formats (large print, audio and Braille) may be obtained free of charge from the above address. This document can also be accessed from https://example.com/hmrc/s-gov.uk-pages. All responses will be acknowledged, but it will not be possible to give substantive replies to individual representations.

When responding please say if you are a business, individual or representative body. In the case of representative bodies please provide information on the number and nature of people you represent.